UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America)			
v.) Case No	: DNCW108CR000	128 002	
ELWOOD AVERY) USM No		128-002	
Date of Original Judgment: August 24, 200)	22.00 000		
Date of Last Amended Judgment: May 26, 2011		Thomas N. Cochran		
	' Defendar	nt's Attorney		
Order Regarding Motion for So	entence Reduction P	ursuant to 18 U.S.C. §	3582(c)(2)	
Upon motion of ■ the defendant □ the § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprison subsequently been lowered and made retroactive be § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. §	onment imposed based by the United States S I taking into account t	l on a guideline sentenci entencing Commission _l he policy statement set f	ng range that has oursuant to 28 U.S.C. orth at USSG §1B1.10	
IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:				
■ DENIED. □ GRANTED and the dot the last judgment issued) of		imposed sentence of imeduced to	prisonment (as reflected in	
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELI Original Offense Level: 34 Criminal History Category: VI Original Guideline Range: 262-327 months II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED of The reduced sentence is within the amended gu	Amendo Crimina Amendo GUIDELINE RANG	ed Offense Level: $\frac{3}{2}$ al History Category: $\frac{V}{2}$ ed Guideline Range: $\frac{2}{2}$		
 □ The previous term of imprisonment imposed w of sentencing and the reduced sentence is comp □ The reduced sentence is above the amended gu ■ Other (explain): Due to the defendant's classif calculations and Amendment 	parably less than the a tideline range. fication as a Career Of	mended guideline range ffender, there is no chan		
III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS				
Except as provided above, all provisions of the jud	dgment dated <u>Augu</u>	<u>st 24, 2009</u> shall	remain in effect.	
IT IS SO ORDERED.				
Order Date: <u>May 10, 2013</u>		D+ =10	7. (
Effective Date: (if different from order date)		fartin Reidinger		